## 

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                    FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
   SHERRILL MORTON and WILLIAM
   MORTON,
                                             NO. CIV S-03-2602 GEB GGH
12
                        Plaintiffs,
13
                                             ORDER
         V.
14
   CENTERPULSE ORTHOPEDICS INC.,
15
                        Defendant.
16
17
              On June 6, 2005, Defendant Centerpulse Orthopedics Inc.
   filed a "Notice of Motion and Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law."
18
19
   Defendant's motion is tantamount to a noticed law and motion matter
   that is based on what Defendant anticipates will be the content of
20
21
   Plaintiff's opening statement. Since the motion is based on an
22
   occurrence which might not materialize, it lacks sufficient
23
   concreteness to be noticed for hearing. Therefore, it is denied.
              IT IS SO ORDERED.
24
   Dated: June 7, 2005
25
26
                                   /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
27
                                   GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
                                   United States District Judge
28
```